Toggle menuBlue Gold Program Wiki

## Navigation

- <u>Main page</u>
- <u>Recent changes</u>
- <u>Random page</u>
- <u>Help about MediaWiki</u>

#### Tools

- <u>What links here</u>
- <u>Related changes</u>
- <u>Special pages</u>
- <u>Permanent link</u>
- <u>Page information</u>

## **Personal tools**

• Log in

## personal-extra

	Toggle search	L
Se	arch	

Random page

## Views

- <u>View</u>
- <u>View source</u>
- <u>History</u>
- PDF Export

## Actions

# **Summary of Section H: Innovation Fund**

From Blue Gold Program Wiki

The printable version is no longer supported and may have rendering errors. Please update your browser bookmarks and please use the default browser print function instead.

The €2.45 million Blue Gold Innovation Fund (BGIF) supported the Blue Gold Program (BGP) to establish Participatory Water Management (PWM) and strengthened value chains. The BGIF funded 42 projects that proposed innovative approaches and new initiatives for the socio-economic

development of BGP's intervention areas. The solutions considered, therefore, contributed to BGP's outcomes.

The objective of the BGIF was to promote the introduction and application of innovations, both technological and conceptual. Each innovation has to be relevant to the beneficiary communities of both the Blue Gold Program, and that of the applicant implementing agencies.

Some of these innovations were adopted from other projects and organisations working inBangladesh, with Dutch knowledge institutions and private sector enterprises. The BGIF tested the relevance and effectiveness of these innovative concepts in their application and scale-up.

## BGIF applicants[<u>edit</u> | <u>edit source</u>]

- Large organisations: produced good proposals, but they did not necessarily understand the context of BGIF. These proposals thus held no promise of realistic implementation
- Academic organisations: very enthusiastic and keen to pilot abstract concepts
- **Small organisations:** effective implementers with strong local networks, but weak in proposal development

Potential applicants to the BGIF were alerted of details, news, and knowledge of previous successful applicants primarily through the BGP website. Other sources of information included: announcements on sector relevant platforms, special events, newsletters, videos, and project booklets.

## Lessons learnt[<u>edit</u> | <u>edit source</u>]

- External communications on details of the fund should have been prioritised at the operations stage of the project in order to encourage the involvement of agricultural development practitioners.
- Horizontal Learning (HL) between BGP and BGIF projects should have been facilitated more. BGIF projects, when harmonised with the objectives of the BGP, ensured greater learning opportunities for development programmes in the southwest region.
- **Consortia-led projects proved to be most effective.** Solicited calls for specific types of larger contracts effectively engaged more local and international organisations in submitting concept notes. The most successful projects were implemented by consortia. They were led by international NGOs, or Dutch companies, with local implementing agencies operating in the field. Both parties learnt how to clarify needs, concepts, and approaches from each other.
- Mapping of context, constraints, and uncertainties at an earlier stage would have generated greater impacts. The BGIF should have been clearly marked as an integral part of BGP to ensure applicants targeted BGP outcomes through their innovations. This would have been supported by the BGP itself, planning activities with an innovation fund from its inception. Clarifying what constitutes as innovative in such a programme would have generated more focussed ideas. Practitioners from a broader range of organisations in the coastal zone would have been able to participate at an earlier stage in that case. The planning should also have mapped uncertainties and gaps, and how to circumnavigate activities through that. This could then have allowed applicants to define activities more clearly. More budget should have been retained for BGIF applicants and team members to familiarise themselves with local conditions and problems.
- **Overhead and management costs are reduced if expertise is shared.** The advice of experts from the BGP team meant reduced management costs for BGIF. This also established strengthened linkages between BGPIF and BGP.

• There should be guidelines for projects to change activities when necessary. It was almost natural for innovation projects like those funded by the BGIF to be unable to implement all activities proposed during the planning phase. This conundrum required flexibility from both implementing agencies, and funds from managers. Problems arise when either party is too rigid and therefore become unable to react to threats and opportunities. The BGIF had attempted to avoid these pitfalls with contract addenda. These addenda attempted to compromise where entirely necessary, and ensured the efficient implementation of the grant.

## See more[edit | edit source]

Previous chapter: Chapter 36: Environmental Due Diligence		chapter: <mark>ter 37: Purpose, fund</mark> I <mark>tion and management</mark>			
Section H: Innovation Fund					
<b>Chapter 37: Purpose, fund</b> <b>evolution and management</b>	<u>Chapter 38: Overview of BGIF</u> <u>Projects</u>				
<ol> <li><u>Blue Gold Innovation Fund</u></li> <li><u>Evolution of the Fund</u></li> <li><u>Fund Management</u></li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Project types and the innovation tunnel</li> <li>Projects in the Water Management Fund and Productive Sector Fund</li> <li>Fairs by GoB departments</li> <li>Annex 38.1 BGP Projects</li> </ol>	<u>Chapter 39: BGIF</u> <u>Lessons learnt</u>			
Blue Gold Wiki					

Executive summary: A Call for Action					
Section A: Background and <u>context</u>	Section B: Development Outcomes	Section C: Water Infrastructure			
Summary • Chapter 01: Overview, Purpose and Structure of Report • Chapter 02: Institutional Setting • Chapter 03: Social, Physical and Environmental Context • Chapter 04: Policy framework, history of interventions and project definition	Summary and Introduction • Chapter 05: Outcomes and Impact from Participatory Water Management • Chapter 06: Outcomes and Impact from Agricultural Development • Chapter 07: Inclusive Development Approach: Outcomes and Impacts from Homestead Based Production • Chapter 08: The Outcomes and Impact on the Livelihoods of Women • Chapter 09: The Overall Outcomes and Impacts on the Livelihoods of Coastal Communities in Blue Gold Polders	Summary • Chapter 10: Coastal Infrastructure • Chapter 11: Investments for Polder Safety and Water Management • Chapter 12: Survey, Design and Procurement • Chapter 13: Construction: Progress, Modalities and Lessons Learnt			
Section D: BGP Interventions: Participatory Water <u>Management</u>	Section E: Agricultural Development	<u>Section F: Responsible</u> <u>Development: Inclusion and</u> <u>Sustainability</u>			
Summary • Chapter 14: Consultation and participation in planning • Chapter 15: WMO capacity building • Chapter 16: Women's participation in Water Management • Chapter 17: In-polder water management • Chapter 18: Water Management Partnership • Chapter 19: Operationalisation of the PWM concept • Chapter 20: Way Forward	<ul> <li>Chapter 21: The Evolving Approach to the <u>Commercialization of Agriculture</u></li> <li><u>Chapter 22: Lessons for</u> <u>Agricultural Extension in the</u> <u>Coastal Zone</u></li> <li><u>Chapter 23: Outreach and</u> <u>Outromes of Commercialization</u></li> </ul>	Summary • Chapter 24: Gender equality and women's empowerment • Chapter 25: Poverty Focus: development of homestead production • Chapter 26: Poverty focus: Labour Contracting Societies • Chapter 27: Sustainability			
Section G: Project Management	nt Section H: Innovation Fun	d Files and others			
Summary • Chapter 28: Project Management Arrangements • Chapter 29: Technical Assistance: Context, Scope, Contractual Arrangements and External Service Contracts • Chapter 30: Evolution of TA Organisational Arrangements • Chapter 31: Capacity Building • Chapter 32: Agricultural Extension Methods and Communication • Chapter 33: Horizontal Learning • Chapter 34: Monitoring and evaluation • Chapter 35: Management Informa System • Chapter 36: Environmental Due Diligence	• <u>Chapter 39: BGIF Lessons</u> <u>Learnt</u>	<ul> <li>File Library</li> <li>Glossary and acronyms</li> <li>Frequently Asked</li> <li>Questions</li> </ul>			

Blue Gold Innovation Fund

Blue Gold Program

A process by which the local stakeholders are directly and actively involved in identification, planning, design, implementation, operation & maintenance and evaluation of a water management project.

A process by which the local stakeholders are directly and actively involved in identification, planning, design, implementation, operation & maintenance and evaluation of a water management project.

Any individual or group who, in one way or another is favourably influenced by the project.

Learning from peers; and in the context of Blue Gold, farmer-to-farmer learning in which a host WMG invites representatives from visiting WMGs to witness an event - such as the harvesting of a new variety of rice - to pass on the knowledge and lessons gained from their experience

Learning from peers; and in the context of Blue Gold, farmer-to-farmer learning in which a host WMG invites representatives from visiting WMGs to witness an event - such as the harvesting of a new variety of rice - to pass on the knowledge and lessons gained from their experience

#### Retrieved from

"https://www.bluegoldwiki.com/index.php?title=Summary\_of\_Section\_H:\_Innovation\_Fund&oldid=64 97"

#### Namespaces

- <u>Page</u>
- <u>Discussion</u>

## Variants

This page was last edited on 16 December 2021, at 16:11.

## **Blue Gold Program Wiki**

The wiki version of the Lessons Learnt Report of the Blue Gold program, documents the experiences of a technical assistance (TA) team working in a development project implemented by the Bangladesh Water Development Board (BWDB) and the Department of Agricultural Extension (DAE) over an eight+ year period from March 2013 to December 2021. The wiki lessons learnt report (LLR) is intended to complement the BWDB and DAE project completion reports (PCRs), with the aim of recording lessons learnt for use in the design and implementation of future interventions in the coastal zone.

• Privacy policy

- About Blue Gold Program Wiki
- <u>Disclaimers</u>

Developed and maintained by Big Blue Communications for Blue Gold Program



Blue Gold Program Wiki